



Gastroenterología y Hepatología

www.elsevier.es/gastroenterologia



ARTÍCULO ESPECIAL

Diagnosis, management and treatment of chronic Chagas' gastrointestinal disease in areas where *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection is not endemic

Diagnóstico, manejo y tratamiento de la afectación digestiva en la fase crónica de la enfermedad de Chagas en países no endémicos

María Jesús Pinazo^{a,*}, Elías Cañas^b, Jose Ignacio Elizalde^c, Magdalena García^d, Joaquim Gascón^a, Fausto Gimeno^e, Jordi Gomez^f, Felipe Guhl^g, Vicente Ortiz^h, Elizabeth de Jesús Posada^a, Sabino Puenteⁱ, Joffre Rezende^j, Joaquín Salas^k, Jaime Saravia^l, Faustino Torrico^m, Diego Torrusⁿ and Begoña Treviño^o

^aSección de Medicina Tropical, Centro de Salud Internacional, Hospital Clínic i Provincial, Centre de Recerca en Salut Internacional de Barcelona (CRESIB), Barcelona, España

^bConsulta de Salud Internacional, Servicio de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospitales Universitarios Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, España

^cServicio de Gastroenterología, Hospital Clínic i Provincial, Barcelona, España

^dUnidad de Salud Internacional, Hospital General de Valencia, Valencia, España

^eServicio de Radiodiagnóstico, CDIC, Hospital Clínic i Provincial, Barcelona, España

^fUnitat de Medicina Tropical i Salut Internacional Drassanes, Institut Català de la Salut (ICS), Barcelona, España

^gCentro de Investigaciones en Microbiología y Parasitología Tropical, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

^hGastroenterología, Hospital La Fe, Valencia, España

ⁱSección de Medicina Tropical, Hospital Carlos III, Madrid, España

^jInstituto de Gastroenterología de la Goiânia, Universidad Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

^kUnidad de Medicina Tropical de Hospital de Poniente, Aguadulce (Almería), España

^lInstituto de Gastroenterología Boliviano Japonés, Cochabamba, Bolivia

^mFacultad de Medicina, Universidad Mayor de San Simón, Cochabamba, Bolivia

ⁿServicio de Medicina Interna, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, España

^oUnitat de Medicina Tropical i Salut Internacional Drassanes, Barcelona, España

Received 21 May 2009; accepted 9 July 2009

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mpinazo@clinic.ub.es (M.J. Pinazo).

0210-5705/\$ - see front matter © 2009 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.gastrohep.2009.07.009

Please cite this article as: Pinazo MJ, et al. Diagnosis, management and treatment of chronic Chagas' gastrointestinal disease in areas where *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection is not endemic. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2009. doi:10.1016/j.gastrohep.2009.07.009

Introduction

Chagas disease, also known as American trypanosomiasis, is a zoonosis that affects about 8–12 million people in Latin America.^{1,2} It is estimated that some 40 million people are at risk of acquiring the infection.³

In its natural life cycle, *Trypanosoma cruzi* (*T. cruzi*) is transmitted through triatomine vectors. Traditionally, Chagas disease was regarded as being typical of poor rural areas, though at present, increased migratory trends have caused the disease to manifest in urban areas of endemic countries,^{2,4} as well as in countries that receive a growing number of immigrants.^{5,6} In such areas, where the vector is lacking, *T. cruzi* can be transmitted through blood transfusions and blood products,⁷ organ and tissue transplants,⁸ or vertically from infected mothers to their offspring.⁹

Following an acute phase that usually goes unnoticed because of its few or no symptoms, untreated Chagas disease enters an initially asymptomatic chronic phase known as the indeterminate form of the disease. After a prolonged period of time (20–30 years), 20–30% of all infected patients develop cardiac complications (cardiac form), 15–20%¹⁰ suffer digestive disorders (digestive form) or both (mixed form), and under 5% develop the neurological form of the disease. The rest of the patients continue to present the indeterminate form of Chagas disease, with no clinical manifestations at any point in life.¹¹ Digestive manifestations are the second most common cause of complications of Chagas disease, and although the mortality rate is low, they can have a considerable impact upon patient quality of life. The prevalence of such digestive manifestations varies according to the geographical origin of the patients. In this sense, they are more common in central Brazil, less frequent in Bolivia, and practically non-existent in countries north of the Amazon basin, Central America and Mexico. In non-endemic areas, the prevalence of digestive manifestations associated with the disease depends on the origin of immigration. In Spain there have already been reports of patients with Chagas disease and digestive complications.^{12,13}

As has been commented, the digestive complications of Chagas disease are more common in the central and southern regions of South America. Although all parts of the digestive system may be affected, the alterations with the greatest clinical expression correspond to the oesophagus and colon. In endemic countries, megacolon is usually the final manifestation, since onset of symptoms is slower than in the case of oesophageal involvement.¹⁴

Spain is one of the countries that receive most immigrants from Latin America. In 2001, Spain registered 49% of total immigration from Latin American within Europe, followed by Italy (13%), England (12%) and Germany (10%).¹⁵ Immigration from Ecuador was most significant in Spain, while in England 56% of the immigrants came from Jamaica, a non Chagas endemic area. These discrepancies can explain the differences in the epidemiology of Chagas disease in different European countries, and they have already published data on different aspects of the illness.^{16–18} At present, Spain has more than 2 million Latin American immigrants from endemic areas.¹⁹

Chagas disease is an emergent disease in Spain,⁵ and poses different challenges for the Spanish healthcare

system.²⁰ One such challenge is adequate management and treatment of the patients that develop complications of the disease. In line with the consensus document on the management of the cardiac complications of Chagas disease auspiced by the Sociedad Española de Medicina Tropical y Salud Internacional (SEMETS),²¹ the present document addresses the diagnosis, management and treatment of the digestive manifestations of the disease in the Spanish setting.

Pathogenesis of digestive involvement in Chagas disease

The pathogenesis of the disease is subject to controversy, though current knowledge points to the existence of mixed mechanisms with direct participation by the parasite^{22,23} and associated autoimmune phenomena^{24,25} involving microvascular alterations and autonomic denervation.^{26–29}

Thus, the acute phase of Chagas disease is characterized by direct parasite invasion of the muscle tissue of the digestive system, with lymphocytic infiltrates (ganglionitis) and degenerative neuronal lesions.³⁰

However, the pathogenesis of the digestive involvement has been most studied in the chronic form of the disease. The fundamental damage that occurs as a result of peristaltic dysfunction and that ultimately results in megavisceral presentations is the destruction of the neurons of the enteric nervous system.³¹ Neuronal damage is variable in each case, and can affect all sections of the digestive tract.

A recent study in patients with megacolon suggests that such neuronal destruction may be selective, fundamentally affecting the nitric oxide (NO) and vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) producing neurons that are involved in smooth muscle relaxation.³² In cases of megacolon and megaesophagus, an important reduction in the number of interstitial cells of Cajal has also been demonstrated.^{33,34} These cells play an important role in the modulation of digestive tube motility; as a result, their destruction could constitute a key element in the pathogenesis of the digestive changes of Chagas disease.

From the histological perspective, and in addition to neuronal reduction, patients with Chagas disease and megacolon present focal areas of fibrosis in the smooth muscle and myenteric plexuses, together with lymphocytic infiltrates at submucosal, myenteric plexus and smooth muscle level. Probably as a compensating mechanism, muscle wall hypertrophy is observed. Such hypertrophy becomes less apparent in very advanced forms of the disease.³⁵

The ultimate outcome of the motor disorders, with sphincter discoordination and increased intraluminal pressure, is progressive dilatation and a reduction of organ contractile capacity. In addition, the *muscularis mucosa* can become hypertrophic, and there have been reports of hyperplastic and dysplastic alterations of the oesophageal epithelium favouring an increase in the number of cases of carcinoma.³⁶

Although the oesophagus and colon (particularly the rectum, sigmoid colon and descending colon) are the portions of the digestive tube with the most evident clinical manifestations, all gastrointestinal segments can be affected. At gastric level there have been reports of altered

myoelectrical activity (gastric dysrhythmias), alterations in gastric emptying and distension, hypoperistalsis,³⁷ hypotonus, hypochlorhydria and eventually pyloric hypertrophy – though gastric dilatation is not frequent. Involvement of the small bowel has also been documented (Chagas enteropathy), manifesting either with or without dilatation.³⁸

Involvement of the small intestine may favour the development of bacterial overgrowth, pseudo-obstructive syndromes and dyspeptic syndrome.³⁵ Patients with gastric or small intestine involvement normally also present oesophageal or colon involvement.^{35,38}

Diagnosis of chronic infection due to *T. cruzi*

The diagnosis of Chagas disease has already been addressed in the first consensus document⁵ and in other reviews.³⁹ In addition to the considerations of the mentioned consensus document, and in the context of the study of the digestive manifestations of chronic Chagas disease, it is advisable to screen for *Trypanosoma cruzi* in all patients coming from Latin America and presenting with constipation, dysphagia or any of the digestive symptoms attributable to the disease. Mention will only be made here of the fact that the diagnosis is based on two criteria:

- Compatible epidemiological antecedents.
- Serological tests. In order to accept infection, the patient must yield two positive results with two serological techniques involving the use of different antigens. In the event of doubts or discrepancies between them, a third technique is indicated.

Study of the digestive system in a patient with *T. cruzi* infection

Medical History

The aim is to detect symptoms indicative of probable digestive involvement. To this effect, attention should focus on the associated signs and symptoms reflected in Table 1. When compiling the medical history, a number of aspects must be taken into account:

1. Coexisting heart disease may be found in up to 30% of all patients.⁴⁰
2. Patients may also present digestive disorders unrelated to Chagas disease.
3. There may be variations in the way in which the symptoms are described, due to the different cultural origins of the patients.
4. Anxiety associated with the immigration process may be related to some symptoms or may condition them.
5. Patients should be questioned about their current dietary habits. Immigration causes many Latin American immigrants to change their diets in comparison with what they were used to eating in their home countries. There are basically two reasons for this: economic and market-related (i.e., certain foods typically found in Latin American countries are difficult to find in Spain). These

Table 1 Chagas disease. Signs and symptoms of digestive involvement

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Symptoms associated with oesophageal alterations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Dysphagia: patient questioning should analyze the characteristics of dysphagia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Dysphagia in relation to solids / liquids. ○ Changes with heat / cold. ○ Location of dysphagia. ● Regurgitation: generally liquids with food remnants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Active, after ingestion, with contraction of abdominal muscles. ○ Passive, in advanced cases, generally in decubitus, with possible episodes of aspiration pneumonia. ● Retrosternal chest pain: improves with fluid ingestion. ● Odynophagia. ● Nocturnal cough. ● Sialorrhoea. ● Parotid gland hypertrophy. – Symptoms associated with gastric / duodenal alterations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Dyspepsia. ● Pyrosis. ● Bloating ● Satiety sensation. ● Epigastric pain, not always present, predominantly in the immediate postprandial period. – Symptoms associated with colon alterations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Constipation. ● Diarrhoea: number of movements/day. ● Changes in bowel habit. ● Straining at stool. ● Incomplete evacuation sensation.

changes in diet can in turn induce changes in bowel habit, and may modify the symptoms related with Chagas disease.⁴¹

6. Drug treatment. It is important to know whether the patient is taking certain drugs that can intensify or lessen the digestive symptoms (e.g., codeine, laxatives, psychoactive drugs, etc.).⁴²
7. As in all clinical histories, it is important to establish previous illness, as this will help us to correlate the symptoms to other disorders. The geographical origin of the patient must be established, in view of the different incidences of the digestive manifestations according to the place of origin.
8. Nutritional evaluation (Table 2) in patients with Chagas disease is of great importance for a number of reasons. Firstly, and given the possible existence of cardiovascular involvement, the early diagnosis and management of vascular risk factors is particularly relevant. On the other hand, altered nutritional status is a marker of potential digestive involvement.

Physical examination

A complete physical examination (including body weight and body mass index (BMI)) is required.

Salivary gland hypertrophy and sialorrhoea may be present as manifestations of oesophageal disorders.

The abdominal exploration should be thorough, including the evaluation of tympanism and abdominal distension and/or asymmetry. In advanced phases it is possible to detect enlarged organs, with palpation of some distended portion of the colon.

Complementary tests

Electrocardiogram (ECG)

All patients diagnosed with Chagas disease should undergo an ECG study even if no cardiological symptoms have been reported. The ECG tracing may help identify early cardiological alterations or may suggest that certain patient symptoms are of coronary – not digestive – origin.⁴³

A 12-lead ECG tracing is to be obtained, including at least a 30-second lead DII recording.

Radiological study

All patients diagnosed with Chagas disease should undergo a posteroanterior and lateral projection chest X-ray study, in order to detect cardiomegalia (despite the low sensitivity in diagnosing Chagas cardiopathy) and mediastinal alterations secondary to megaesophagus.

All subjects with upper and/or lower digestive tract symptoms should undergo an oesophagogram and barium enema (Annex A). Any of the organs that comprise the digestive system may be affected; consequently, radiological alterations may be evidenced at any level.¹⁴ The complete radiological study (colon and oesophagus) may be carried out on the same visit – in which case the first step should involve a barium enema.

Given the existence of digestive tract alterations in up to 11% of all asymptomatic patients,¹⁴ radiological evaluation is recommended in all patients with *T. cruzi* infection.

Diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection

Although the relationship between non-ulcerative dyspepsia and *H. pylori* infection is the subject of controversy,^{44–46} all the national⁴⁷ and international consensus documents⁴⁸ consider the “test and treat” strategy to be indicated from the cost-effectiveness perspective in adult patients with non-investigated dyspepsia under 45–50 years of age without clinical alarm signs or symptoms. Since the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection in this population is moderate to high,^{49–53} we recommend the evaluation of such infection in all patients presenting dyspepsia, pyrosis, or signs of postprandial abdominal distension. It is advisable to confirm *H. pylori* eradication at least four weeks after the end of treatment.⁵⁴

There are different techniques for diagnosing *Helicobacter pylori* infection; of the existing options, we recommend the breath test with ¹³C-urea, since it is noninvasive and offers high sensitivity and specificity,^{55,56} provided the technique is available.

Study of parasites in stools

The digestive symptoms attributable to Chagas disease can also be caused by other intestinal parasitoses, with a high prevalence among patients from Latin America. Serial evaluations of parasites in stools are advised, based on three samples on alternate days, and including a fresh sample analysis.

Oesophageal manometry

Chagas oesophageal disease is manometrically characterized by changes in oesophageal body peristalsis and lower oesophageal sphincter (LES) relaxation. These alterations are variable, and range from nonspecific motor disturbances to diffuse oesophageal spasms and even manifestations analogous to those of idiopathic achalasia.

Table 2 Evaluation of nutritional condition based on anthropometric parameters and plasma protein measurements

A. (BMI) Body Mass Index: weight (kg)/height ² (m)				
BMI (kg/m ²)	Nutritional condition			
< 19.9	Malnutrition			
20–25	Normal			
25–29.9	Overweight			
30–34.9	Grade I obesity			
35–39.9	Grade II obesity			
> 40	Grade III obesity			
B. Determination of plasma proteins				
	Normal	Mild depletion	Moderate depletion	Severe depletion
Albumin (g/dl)	3.5–4.5	2.8–3.5	2.1–2.7	<2.1
Transferrin (mg/dl)	250–350	150–250	100–150	<100
Prealbumin (mg/dl)	18–28	15–18	10–15	<10

We recommend manometry for all those patients who, even in the presence of a normal oesophagogram, present oesophageal symptoms and in whom there are diagnostic doubts that condition the perceived quality of life.

In the case of non-advanced disease (0–II), variants of normal behaviour can be observed, such as partial LES relaxation and intermittent and/or segmental aperistalsis. In the case of severe disease (III–IV), complete aperistalsis with low oesophageal body pressure values are observed.⁵⁷

In addition, manometry may be useful for evaluating the response to different treatments.

Endoscopy

Endoscopy is indicated in selected cases of chronic Chagas disease:

- In the presence of megaesophagus, to assess the condition of the oesophageal mucosa.
- When underlying oesophageal/colon disease is suspected due to the existence of other signs or symptoms reported by the patient or established from the family history, with the criteria applied to the general population (Table 3).
- Removal of an impacted foreign body, particularly in megaesophagus stages III–IV.
- Fitting of a nasogastric feeding tube, in cases of advanced oesophageal disease.

Management of the patient infected with *T. cruzi* and with suspected digestive involvement

It is estimated that each year between 2–5% of all patients with the indeterminate form of Chagas disease evolve towards chronic cardiac or digestive forms of the illness¹⁰.

Asymptomatic patient

Although the patient shows no digestive symptoms, a guided medical history will be carried out on each of the yearly visits. Body weight will be taken and nutritional condition evaluated.

Symptomatic patient

A. With normal complementary test findings

Once all the test results have been obtained and are found to be within normal limits, the following is recommended even if the patient continues to present symptoms:

1. Evaluation of some other possible underlying diseases (anxiety, digestive disorders of some other aetiology).
2. Start symptomatic treatment.

B. With altered complementary test findings

Megaesophagus. The management of megaesophagus depends on the degree of oesophageal disease (Table 4),

Table 3 Indications of upper digestive tract endoscopy (modified from the clinical guidelines of the Asociación Española de Gastroenterología)

Organ	Indications
Oesophagus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study of gastrooesophageal reflux • Portal hypertension • Achalasia • Suspected neoplasm • Oesophageal dilatations • Extraction of foreign bodies • Peptic acid disease • Hiatal hernia • Oesophageal stenosis (dysphagia or odynophagia) • Barrett oesophagus • Toxic substances ingestion (acid or alkaline) • Varicose vein sclerotherapy • Polypectomy • Study of retrosternal pain
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dyspepsia unresponsive to clinical management in patients over 45 years of age • Atrophic gastritis • Persistent nausea and vomiting
Stomach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspected neoplasm • Control of digestive bleeding • Polypectomy • Percutaneous gastrostomy
Duodenum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Polypectomy • Malabsorption syndrome (biopsies) • Control of bleeding lesion • Duodenal ulcer and duodenitis

the nutritional condition of the patient and the existing comorbidities. Clinical or surgical options are available, as well as tube and/or balloon dilatation measures.

There are no specific treatments capable of restoring oesophageal function, though partial recovery of oesophageal peristalsis can be observed following clinical, endoscopic or surgical management. The therapeutic measures are the same as those applied in cases of idiopathic achalasia, and are basically destined to reduce lower oesophageal sphincter (LES) pressure (cardia). The management option should be based on the general patient characteristics, the symptoms, and the degree of radiological and manometric involvement.

Nitrates (isosorbide dinitrate, 2.5-5 mg po, 5 minutes before meals) and nifedipine (10° mg po 45 min before meals),⁵⁸ induce LES relaxation and can be used in some cases. However, these measures are not always effective, undesirable effects are frequent, and their action tends to subside over time (tachyphylaxis); such drugs are therefore only recommended as temporary treatment whilst waiting for definitive management.

The two most widely used treatment options are pneumatic balloon dilatation (presently performed via endoscopy),⁵⁹ and laparoscopic myotomy (Heller technique). In advanced cases, or in the presence of relapse, the Serradoría surgical technique or oesophagectomy is performed. Cardiomyectomy with Pinotti funduplicature may be used as a surgical alternative.^{60,61}

Although all of these procedures have advantages and inconveniences, their overall efficacy is similar. It is therefore advisable to use the technique with which the centre has most experience.

Endoscopic botulin toxin injection in the sphincter region is able to reduce the symptoms in patients with idiopathic achalasia and Chagas megaesophagus. The main inconvenience of this treatment is the limited duration of the effect obtained (months). As a result, it would be indicated in patients with oesophageal involvement and a high surgical risk or serious concomitant diseases.

Megacolon. The management of megacolon basically depends on the degree of constipation (defined according to the Rome III criteria), the degree of colon dilatation/lengthening, the nutritional condition of the patient and the existing comorbidities. Clinical (symptomatic) or surgical

treatment options are available. It is important to mention that patients with megacolon can present without constipation, and that the first manifestation of the disease may be a complication (e.g., volvulus).

The literature offers no established classification of the degrees of colon involvement. A sigmoid colon or descending colon diameter of over 6.5 cm is considered pathological from the radiological perspective, and defines megacolon. However, a range of colonic changes have been described in patients with Chagas disease and constipation (Table 5).

The literature likewise offers no consensus regarding the surgical management of choice for Chagas megacolon. At present, some specialized centres advocate technical variants of the classical procedure of Duhamel-Haddad⁶² (rectosigmoidectomy with retrocecal interpositioning) or rectosigmoidectomy with end-to-side low colorectal anastomosis. Recently a new procedure has been described consisting of rectosigmoidectomy with ileal loop interpositioning.⁶³ To date, this technique has been used via the laparoscopic approach in a single published experience in Spain¹².

Treatment and management of colon involvement according to the degree of involvement.

Groups 0–1

- Initial hygiene – dietary measures for all patients.
 - Abundant fluid intake: at least two litres of water a day.
 - Limitation of astringent foods, and provision of a fibre-rich diet.
 - Definition of times for bowel movement, taking care not to delay defecation in case of need.
 - Increased gentle daily physical exercise: favour walking and minimize sedentary lifestyle.

Table 5 Classification by groups of patients with chagasic colon disease

Group 0: No alterations in barium enema
Group 1: Patients with dolichocolon
Group 2: Dolichomegacolon: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Descending colon > 6.5 cm in diameter ● Ascending colon > 8 cm in diameter ● Caecum > 12 cm in diameter

Table 4 Classification of chagasic oesophageal disease⁷²

Group 0: Asymptomatic cases without radiological alterations, showing some degree of denervation as established by pharmacological methods. Air in gastric fundus.
Group I: Oesophagus with apparently normal calibre in radiological study. Slow transit, with minor retention on X-rays one minute after ingestion of contrast. The contrast medium forms a small residual column, levelled at the upper extremity and perpendicular to the walls of the oesophagus. Air in gastric fundus.
Group II: Oesophagus with small-moderate increase in calibre. Appreciable contrast retention. Presence of residual column of variable height. Frequent presence of tertiary waves, with or without lower oesophageal hypotonus. Absence of air in gastric fundus.
Group III: Oesophagus with large increase in diameter. Reduced motor activity. Lower oesophageal hypotonus. Important contrast retention. Absence of air in gastric fundus.
Group IV: Dolichomegaoesophagus. Oesophagus with great retention capacity, atonic, elongated. Absence of air in gastric fundus

- Clinical treatment: in patients with constipation who do not respond to hygiene – dietary measures.
 - Laxatives, particularly osmotic agents: mineral oil, milk of magnesia, lactulose, macrogol.
 - Attempts should be made to minimize their use.
 - Cleansing enemas if the mentioned laxatives prove ineffective. The use of glycerine is suggested.

Group 2

- Hygiene – dietary measures and clinical treatment with the same indications as in patients belonging to groups 0-1.
- Surgery: in patients of this group presenting symptoms refractory to the above management measures, in the absence of surgical contraindications.
 - Sigmoidectomy and low colorectal anastomosis.
 - Rectosigmoidectomy with ileal loop interpositioning.
 - Rectosigmoidectomy with retrorectal colon descent (Duhamel-Haddad surgery).⁶³

Annex B addresses management of the complications of megacolon.

Chagas enteropathy. In megaduodenum, surgery is only indicated if the patient shows unequivocal evidence of duodenal stasis. The most common procedure is duodenojejunal anastomosis close to the duodenojejunal flexure.⁶⁴ In cases of megajejunum or megaileum, partial enterectomy is only indicated if the affected sections are not very extensive.⁶⁵ In situations of extensive involvement, clinical management is indicated with continuous gastric aspiration, correction of dehydration and electrolyte imbalances, and the provision of parenteral nutrition.

The diagnostic technique of choice for establishing bacteriological overgrowth is the exhaled hydrogen test following the administration of glucose.⁶⁶ If testing proves positive, non-absorbable antibiotic use (rifaximin) for two weeks is recommended.

H. pylori infection. If the breath test proves positive, eradicating treatment is indicated, with control testing starting four weeks after the end of treatment.^{47,48}

If the symptoms persist despite efficacy of the mentioned treatment, symptomatic management with proton pump inhibitors is indicated, together with fibrogastroscopy to discard underlying pathology.

Parasites in stools. Specific treatment should be provided, depending on the diagnosed parasite, in accordance with the national and international guidelines.^{67,68}

Etiological treatment of patients with chronic Chagas disease

At present, two drugs have been approved for the treatment of Chagas disease. The dose of benznidazole (5 mg/kg/day) and nifurtimox (10 mg/kg/day), and the recommended treatment duration (60 days) have experienced no changes since publication of the first consensus document in Spain.

Benznidazole is recommended as first choice treatment, since it offers a lesser incidence of side effects.⁶⁹

The healing rate in the chronic phase of Chagas disease is estimated to be 8–25% (in observations of up to 8 years after the end of treatment),⁷⁰ though recent studies in patients in the chronic indeterminate phase (group 0 of the Kuschnir classification, 64% of the included patients) or with Chagas myocardiopathy and no signs of heart failure (groups I and II of the classification of Kuschnir) report reduction in disease progression and even regression to earlier stages of heart disease after treatment with benznidazole.⁷¹ No similar studies in patients with digestive involvement are available.

According to the consensus documents of 2005⁵ and 2007²¹, specific treatment of patients with *T. cruzi* infection is recommended. Treatment is also advised in subjects with early onset digestive disease, establishing patient–physician agreement with due information on the potential appearance of side effects and on the effectiveness of therapy as established by previous experience.

Final considerations

Chagas disease is to be suspected in all patients with a compatible epidemiological history and symptoms suggestive of digestive involvement. The low specificity of the digestive symptoms and the scant knowledge of the latter in the Spanish setting make it necessary to increase awareness of the diagnostic and management protocols for these patients, with a view to improving knowledge of the disease among the healthcare professionals in charge of detecting and managing the illness.

Most patients with digestive involvement can be diagnosed and treated in non-specialized centres, though in situations of first line treatment failure, diagnostic uncertainties, or the need for complex complementary techniques, referral is advised to a specialist in gastrointestinal diseases and to a centre specialized in imported diseases.

In any case, patient referral does not imply obviation on the part of the primary care physician (i.e., the professional who initially sees the patient) of the need to compile a good medical history, conduct a clinical exploration with correct cardiological and digestive evaluation, and obtain a conventional ECG tracing and chest X-ray study before referring the patient.

Conflict of interest

All the authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Pere Albajar (Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases, WHO) for critical review of the manuscript.

Study sources of funding/support:

The consensus document was started by the SEMTSI Work Group on Chagas disease, as an initiative of the SEMTSI and

CRESIB (Centre de Recerca en Salut Internacional de Barcelona). The final meeting was set in the context of the workshop sessions on imported Chagas disease, organized by the CRESIB and RIVEMTI (Red Catalana de Vigilancia Epidemiològica de Enfermedades Tropicales e Importadas), and held on February 2-3, 2009. The workshop sessions were financed by the Fundación Mundo Sano (España), the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca (AGAUR; 2008ARCS200050) of the Generalitat de Catalunya; the SEMTSI and the Agencia Española de Cooperación Internacional (AECI).

Annex A. Rezende and Ximenes techniques for barium contrast radiological study in patients with suspected chagasic digestive disease

Oesophagogram (Rezende technique⁷³)

- In evolved cases, prior tube cleansing of the oesophagus is indicated to remove food remains.
- Patient in the standing position, in right anterior oblique projection.
- Administer contrast until a column of sufficient height is obtained to ensure passage to the stomach, allowing visualization of the shape of the oesophagus, its diameter, wall contours and kinetic activity.
- X-rays of this area are to be obtained at the time of contrast administration and after 60 seconds.

Barium enema (Ximenes technique⁷³)

- The study is to be made without prior preparation.
 - Initial plain abdominal X-rays.
 - Introduction of 300 ml of barium contrast diluted in 1,200 ml of water to form 1,500 ml of suspension.
 - The patient is to remain in the right lateral position for 5 minutes.
 - Three radiological projections are indicated after contrast administration: dorsal decubitus, ventral decubitus and lateral. The focus-film distance should be one meter.

Annex B. Management of the complications of megacolon

- a) Fecaloma
 - Proctolysis with liquid vaseline through a rectal tube: 300 ml in slow drip, followed by saline cleansing enemas.
 - Manual removal.
- b) Sigmoid volvulus
 - Endoscopic reduction.
 - Surgery, if endoscopic resolution is not possible.
- c) Perforation
 - Early surgical intervention.

References

1. Pan American Health Organization, WHO Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases. Estimación cuantitativa de la enfermedad de Chagas en las Américas. Montevideo, Uruguay 2006. OPS/HDM/CD/22506.
2. WHO Expert Committee on the Control of Chagas Disease (2000: Brasilia, Brazil). Control of Chagas Disease: second report of the WHO Expert Committee. WHO technical report series; 905: I-VI:1-109.
3. Schofield C, Jannin J, Salvatella R. The future of Chagas disease control. Trends Parasitol. 2006;22:583-8.
4. Gurtler RE, Segura EL, Cohen JE. Congenital transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in Argentina. Emerg Infect Dis. 2003;9:29-32.
5. Gascón J. Diagnóstico y tratamiento de la enfermedad de Chagas importada. Med Clin (Barc). 2005;125:230-5.
6. Bern C, Montgomery SP, Herwaldt BL, Rassi A, Marin-Neto JA, Dantas RO, et al. Evaluation and treatment of Chagas disease in the United States: a systematic review. JAMA. 2007;298:2171-81.
7. Piron M, Vergés M, Muñoz J, Casamitjana N, Sanz S, Maymó RM, et al. Seroprevalence of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in at-risk blood donors in Catalonia, Spain. Transfusion. 2008;48:1862-8.
8. Altclas JD, Barcan L, Nagel C, Lattes R, Riarte A. Organ transplantation and Chagas disease. JAMA. 2008;299:1134-5.
9. Muñoz J, Portus M, Corachan M, Fumado V, Gascon J. Congenital *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in a non-endemic area. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2007;101:1161-2.
10. Prata A. Clinical and epidemiological aspects of Chagas disease. Lancet Infect Dis. 2001;1:92-100.
11. Dias JCP. Natural history of Chagas' disease. Arq Bras Cardiol. 1994;65:359-66.
12. Espin E, Vallribera F, Lopez M, Lozoya R, Sánchez JL, Armengol M. Laparoscopic-assisted rectosigmoidectomy with ileal loop interposition. Surgical treatment of Chagasic Megacolon. Dis Colon Rectum. 2008;1:1421.
13. Muñoz J, Gómez i Prat J, Gállego M, Gimeno F, Treviño B, López-Chejade P, et al. Clinical profile of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in a non-endemic setting: immigration and Chagas disease in Barcelona (Spain). Acta Tropica. 2009;111:51-5.
14. Rezende JM, Moreira H. Forma digestiva da Doença de Chagas. In: Brenner Z, Andrade ZA, Barral-Neto N, editors. Trypanosoma cruzi e Doença de Chagas, 2nd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan; 2000. p. 465-78.
15. Lopez de Lera D, Perez A, Villares M. Inmigración Latinoamericana en Europa. Taller Los latinos al descubrimiento de Europa. Nuevas emigraciones y espacios para la ciudadanía. June 17-18; Génova, Italy. Available from: http://esomi.es/uploads/publicaciones/articulos/2004-Lopez-Perez%20y%20Villares_Latinos%20descubrimiento%20Europa.pdf. (2004).
16. Schmunis G. Epidemiology of Chagas disease in non endemic countries: the role of international migration. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2007;102:75-85.
17. Lescure FX, Canestri A, Melliez H, Jauréguiberry S, Develoux M, Dorent R, et al. Chagas disease, France. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:644-6.
18. Leiby DA, Herron Jr RM, Garratty G, Herwaldt BL. *Trypanosoma cruzi* parasitemia in US blood donors with serologic evidence of infection. J Infect Dis. 2008;198:609-13.
19. Instituto Nacional de Estadística 2008. (cited 2009 May 5). Available from: <http://www.ine.es>.
20. Gascon J, Pinazo MJ. Control de la transmisión vertical del *T. cruzi* en España: principal reto de la patología importada en España. Enf Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2008;26:607-8.
21. Gascon J, Albajar P, Canas E, Flores M, Gomez i Prat J, Herrera RN, et al. Diagnosis, management and treatment of chronic Chagas' heart disease in areas where *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection is not endemic. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2007;60:285-93.
22. Lages-Silva E, Crema E, Ramirez LE, Macedo AM, Pena SD, Chiari E. Relationship between *Trypanosoma cruzi* and Human Chagasic Megaesophagus: Blood and Tissue Parasitism. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2001;65:435-41.

23. Köberle F, Nador E. Etiología e patogenia do megaesôfago no Brasil. *Rev Paul Med.* 1955;47:643–61.
24. Takle GB, Hudson L. Autoimmunity and Chagas' disease. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 1989;145:79–92.
25. López L, Arai K, Jiménez E, Jiménez M, Pascuzo C, Rodríguez-Bonfante C, et al. C-Reactive Protein and Interleukin-6 serum levels increase as Chagas disease progresses towards cardiac failure. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2006;59:50–6.
26. Oliveira EC, Fujisawa MM, Hallal-Longo DE, Farias AS, Contin-Moraes J, et al. Neuropathy of gastrointestinal Chagas' disease: immune response to myelin antigens. *Neuroimmunomodulation.* 2009;16:54–62.
27. Tafuri WL. Immunopathology of Chagas disease - A historical overview. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 1999;99:247–8.
28. Marin-Neto JA. Cardiac dysautonomia and pathogenesis of Chagas' heart disease. *Int J Cardiol.* 1998;66:129–31.
29. Dávila-Spinetti DF, Colmenarez-Mendoza HL, Lobo-Vielma L. Mecanismos causantes de la progresión del daño miocárdico en la enfermedad de Chagas crónica. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2005;58:1007–9.
30. Queiroz AC. Estudo doplexo de Auerbach em um caso agudo da doença de Chagas. *Gaz Med Bahia.* 1916;8:37–59.
31. Iantorno G, Bassotti G, Kogan Z, Lumi CM, Cabanne AM, Fisgón S, et al. The Enteric Nervous System in Chagasic and Idiopathic Megacolon. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2007;31:460–8.
32. da Silveira ABM, d'Avila D, de Oliveira EC, Neto SG, Luquetti AO, Poole D, et al. Neurochemical Coding of the Enteric Nervous System in Chagasic Patients with Megacolon. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2007;52:2877–83.
33. Hagger R, Finlayson C, Kahn F, de Oliveira R, Chimelli L, Kumar D. A deficiency of interstitial cells of Cajal in Chagasic megacolon. *J Auton Nerv Sys.* 2000;80:108–11.
34. De Lima MA, Cabrine-Santos M, Garcia M, Pacheco G, Lages-Silva E, Ramirez LE. Interstitial cells of Cajal in chagasic megaesophagus. *Ann Diagn Pathol.* 2008;12:271–4.
35. Troncon LE, Oliveira RB, Romanello LM, Rosa-e-Silva L, Pinto MC, Iazigi N, et al. Abnormal progression of a liquid meal through the stomach and small intestine in patients with Chagas disease. *Dig Dis Sci.* 1993;38:1511–7.
36. Rezende JM, Rosa H, Vaz MJM. Endoscopia no megaesofago: estudo prospectivo de 600 casos. *Arq Gastroenterol.* 1985;22:53–62.
37. Rezende Filho J, De Rezende JM, Melo JR. Electrogastrography in patients with Chagas' disease. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005;50:1882–8.
38. Meneghelli UG. Chagasic enteropathy. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2004;37:252–60.
39. Flores-Chavez M, Gárate T, Franco E, Cruz I, Nieto J, Rodriguez M, et al. Evaluación de técnicas de diagnóstico serológico de la infección por *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Enf Emerg.* 2008;10:47.
40. Rezende JM, Luquetti AO, editors. Chagasic megavisceras. In: Chagas' Disease and the Nervous System. Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization;1994. p. 149–71.
41. Posada EJ, Pell C, Pinazo MJ, Gimeno F, Angulo N, López P, et al. Estreñimiento, enfermedad de Chagas y cambios alimenticios entre inmigrantes bolivianos en Barcelona. *Enf Emerg.* 2009;11:16–9.
42. Adad SJ, Souza MA, Barbosa-Silva G, Junior JC, Pires de Godoyland CA, Rua Micheletti AM. Acquired non-Chagas megacolon associated with the use of psychiatric medication: case report and differential diagnosis with Chagas megacolon. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2008;41:293–5.
43. Dias JCP, Kloetzel K. The prognostic value of the electrocardiographic features of chronic Chagas' disease. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo.* 1968;10:158–62.
44. Ables AZ, Simon I, Melton ER. Update on *Helicobacter pylori* treatment. *Am Fam Physician.* 2007;75:351–8.
45. Moayyedi P, Soo S, Deeks J, Delaney B, Harris A, Innes M, et al. Eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* for non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006 CD002096.
46. Laine L, Schoenfeld P, Fennerty MB. Therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* in patients with nonulcer dyspepsia. A meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;134:361–9.
47. Monés J, Gisbert JP, Borda F, Domínguez-Muñoz E. Grupo Conferencia Española de Consenso sobre *Helicobacter pylori*. Indicaciones, métodos diagnósticos y tratamiento erradicador de *Helicobacter pylori*. Recomendaciones de la II Conferencia Española de Consenso. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2005;97:348–74.
48. Chey WD, Wong BC, the Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. American College of Gastroenterology Guideline on the Management of *Helicobacter pylori* Infection. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2007;102:1808–25.
49. Nascimento RS, Valente SRG, Oliveira LCM. Seroprevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in chronic chagasic patients, and in the rural and urban population from Uberlândia, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Rev Inst Med trop S Paulo.* 2002;44:251–4.
50. De Vries AC, Van Driel HF, Richardus JH, Ouwendijk M, Van Vuuren AJ, De Man RA, et al. Migrant communities constitute a possible target population for primary prevention of *Helicobacter pylori*-related complications in low incidence countries. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2008;43:403–9.
51. Leandro Liberato SV, Hernández Galindo M, Torroba Álvarez L, Sánchez Miramón F, Leandro Ciriza SE, Gómez Abadía A, et al. Infección por *Helicobacter pylori* en población infantil: prevalencia, factores asociados e influencia sobre el crecimiento. *An Pediatr (Barc).* 2005;63:489–94.
52. Sanz-Peláez O, Santana-Rodríguez E, Moreno-Maroto AA, Caranza-Rodríguez C, Pisos-Alamo E, Pérez-Arellano JL. *Helicobacter pylori* and cagA seroprevalence in sub-Saharan immigrants recently arrived to Gran Canaria (Spain). *Scan J Infect Dis.* 2008;40:756–8.
53. Pinazo MJ, Elizalde JI, Posada E, Gimeno F, Gascon J. Co-infección por dos patógenos emergentes: *Trypanosoma cruzi* y *Helicobacter pylori*. ¿Existe correlación entre ambas infecciones?. *Enf Emerg.* 2009;11:50.
54. Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain C, Bazzoli F, El-Omar E, Graham D, et al. Current concepts in the management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: the Maastricht III Consensus Report. *Gut.* 2007;56:772–81.
55. Peeters M. Urea breath test: a diagnostic tool in the management of *Helicobacter pylori*-related gastrointestinal diseases. *Acta Gastroenterol Belg.* 1998;61:332–5.
56. Peng NJ, Lai KH, Lo GH, Hsu PI. Comparison of noninvasive diagnostic tests for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Med Princ Pract.* 2009;18:57–61.
57. Oliveira RB, Rezende Filho J, Dantas RO, Iazigi N. The spectrum of oesophageal motor disorders in Chagas disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1995;90:1119–24.
58. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde do Ministério da Saúde. Consenso brasileiro em doença de Chagas. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 2005;38.
59. Caldeira LM, Rezende Filho J, Rezende JM, Ximenes JA. Endoscopic pneumatic dilation in Chagasic Achalasia: A clinical, radiological and manometric study with one-year follow-up. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2006;18:154.
60. Felix VN, Ceconello I, Pinotti HW. Surgical treatment of megaesophagus. Effect of myotomy and fundoplication on the lower oesophageal sphincter. *Arq Gastroenterol.* 1996;33:17–25.
61. Pinotti HW, Sakai P, Ishioka S. Cardiomyotomy and fundoplication for oesophageal achalasia. *Jpn J Surg.* 1983;13:399–403.
62. Duhamel B. Une nouvelle opération pour le mégacolon congénital: L'abaissement retrocecal et trans-anal du colon et son application possible au traitement de quelques malformations. *Presse Medical.* 1956;95:2249–50.
63. Netinho JG, Cunrath GS, Ronchi LS. Rectosigmoidectomy with ileal loop interposition: a new surgical method for the treatment of chagasic megacolon. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2002;45:1387–92.

64. Raia AA, Acquarone P, Correa Neto A. Contribução ao estudo da etiopatogenia do megaduodenum. *Rev Goiania Me.* 1966;23:399–400.
65. Rezende JM. Manifestações digestivas da doença de Chagas. In: Dani R, Castro LP, editors. *Gastroenterologia Clínica*, 3rd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara-Koogan SA; 1993. p. 1729–55.
66. Gasbarrini A, Lauritano EC, Gabrielli M, Scarpellini E, Lupascu A, Ojetti V, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth: diagnosis and treatment. *Dig Dis.* 2007;25:237–40.
67. Mensa J, Gatell JM, García-Sánchez J, Letang E, López-Suñé E. *Guía de terapéutica antimicrobiana 2009*, 18th ed. Barcelona: Antares ed; 2009.
68. Cook GC, Zumla AI. *Manson's Tropical Diseases*, 22th ed. London: Saunders; 2009.
69. Jackson Y, Chappuis F. Tolerante of Nifurtimox for the treatment of Chagas disease in adults. *Enf Emerg.* 2009;11:49.
70. Ferreira HO. Tratamento da forma indeterminada da doença de Chagas com Nifurtimox e Benznidazol. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 1990;22:128–30.
71. Viotti R, Vigliano C, Lococo B, Bertocchi G, Petti M, Álvarez MG, et al. Long-term cardiac outcomes of treating chronic Chagas disease with benznidazole versus no treatment. *Ann Int Med.* 2006;144:724–34.
72. Rezende JM, Lauer KM, Oliveira AR. Aspectos clínicos e radiológicos da aperistalse do esôfago. *Rev Bras Gastroenterol.* 1960;12:247.
73. Ximenes CA, Rezende JM, Moreira H, Vaz MGM. Técnica simplificada para o diagnóstico radiológico do Megacolon chagásico. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 1984;17:23.